

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/809,235	RODRIGUEZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	HIEU T. VO	3747	

**All Participants:**

(1) HIEU T. VO.

(2) Mr. DENNIS K. SULLIVAN.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 June 2005

**Time:** 4:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*35 USC 101*

**Claims discussed:**

*11 and 20*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*NONE*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An agreement has been made between Applicant's Attorney and Examiner to change the preamble of claims 11 and 12 (see Examiner's Admendment) to meet the requirement of 35 USC 101.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Hieu T. Vo* 6/3/05  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)